

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,716	01/02/2002	Ralph A. Martino	6240.343	5956
75	90 02/05/2003			
Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White, LLC			EXAMINER	
Ste. 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
Bethesda, MD 20817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
		DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	\( \lambda \)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Augliocatio)					
<i>,</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/032,716	MARTINO, RALPH A.					
omeo neuem cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Elizabeth M Cole	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 38-40</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					
5. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1771

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24, 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mirous et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,391,340 in view of Persson, U.S. Patent No. 5,183,622. Mirous et al discloses a method of making an embossed panel comprising a substrate and a protective coating wherein the substrate comprises wood fibers and a binder. The method comprises the steps of applying a protective coating to the substrate before the substrate is embossed. Additional coatings or layers such as paints, varnishes, shellacs, etc., may be applied above and/or below the protective layer. Mirous teaches selecting the temperature of the process so that the panel is not damaged by the pressure, but instead the resin is softened and the topcoat is not transferred to the embossing surface. The embossed panels are suitable for use as building materials and panels. Mirous et al differs from the claimed invention because Mirous et al does not teach the thicknesses of the substrate or the depth of the embossing. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the thicknesses of the substrate, the coating and the embossments depending upon the desired strength and the desired appearance of the panel. Mirous et al also differs from the claimed invention because Mirous et al does not teach that the substrate should comprise a medium density board. Persson teaches that medium density boards are particularly suitable for forming embossed building panels. Therefore, it would

Serial Number: 10/032,716 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed a medium density board as the substrate in Mirous et al because Persson teaches that such boards are particularly suitable for use in making embossed building panels.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M. Cole
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c January 27, 2003